



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/489,561 01/21/2000		William J. Baer	STL000025US1	5987		
23373	7590 04/15/2003					
	MION, PLLC	EXAMINER				
	2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20037			KHATRI, ANIL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2122	17		
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2003	12		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

							Č			
				Application N .		Applicant(s)				
ن	Offic	Action Summary		09/489,561		BAER ET AL.				
				Examiner	•	Art Unit				
				Anil Khatri		2122				
Period fo		LING DATE of this commu	nication appe	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failun - Any re	MAILING [sions of time r SIX (6) MONT period for repl period for repl e to reply with eply received b	O STATUTORY PERIOD IN COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMM	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. 30) days, a reply v statutory period wil y will, by statute, o	6(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min Il apply and will expire s cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. & 133).	/. mmunication.			
1)	Respons	ive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>21 Ja</u>	nuary 2000 .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action	on is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This	action is non-fi	nal.					
3) <u>□</u> Dispositio	closed in	s application is in condition accordance with the practims	n for allowar ctice under <i>E</i>	nce except for fo fx parte Quayle,	rmal matters, pr 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to th 53 O.G. 213.	e merits is			
4)	Claim(s)	1-45 is/are pending in the	application.							
4	ta) Of the	above claim(s) is/a	are withdraw	n from considera	ation.					
5)	Claim(s) _	is/are allowed.								
6)	6)☐ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) _	is/are objected to.								
		are subject to restri	ction and/or	election requirer	ment.					
Application	-									
	-	ication is objected to by th								
10)[] 1		g(s) filed on is/are			-					
11\□ T		may not request that any obsection file								
י נבוליי		ed, corrected drawings are re				ved by the Examine	er.			
12)∏ T		r declaration is objected to			IOH.					
		.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	o by the Exa							
		dgment is made of a clain	n for foreign i	nriority under 35	11 S C & 110/a	u(d) or (f)				
		Some * c)☐ None of:	i ioi ioioigii į	priority under 55	0.0.0. g 119(a)	-(u) or (i).				
		tified copies of the priority	documents	have been recei	ved					
;		tified copies of the priority				on No				
;	3.☐ Cop	pies of the certified copies application from the Internached detailed Office action	of the priorit	y documents ha eau (PCT Rule 1	ve been receive 7.2(a)).	d in this National	Stage			
14) 🗌 Ad	cknowledg	ment is made of a claim	for domestic	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
a)	☐ The tr	anslation of the foreign la	nguage prov	isional application	n has been rece	eived.	,			
Attachment(-		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			,			
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsper	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (F sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F		5) 🔲		(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) - Application/Control Number: 09/489,561

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-45 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No.6,449,627. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed subject matter and limitations of the instant application has been fully described in the patent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anil Khatri whose telephone number is 703-305-0282. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00 PM.

Art Unit: 2122

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

April 7, 2003

ANIL KHATRI PRIMARY EXAMINE